

WIS/02/02-JAN-DPP
hep-th/0201061

D0-branes in flux 5-brane backgrounds

Joan Simón

The Weizmann Institute of Science, Department of Particle Physics
Herzl Street 2, 76100 Rehovot, Israel
E-mail: jsimon@weizmann.ac.il

ABSTRACT

The existence of supersymmetric D0-branes sitting at an arbitrary distance from a flux 5-brane is proven. The physical picture in type IIA is consistent with the Kaluza-Klein reduction origin of the flux 5-brane. An analysis of the fluctuations around these vacua is performed and the backreaction of the D0-branes is computed. Non-threshold D0-D2 bound states and similar stabilization mechanisms for D2-branes in such backgrounds are also briefly discussed.

PACS numbers: 11.15.kc, 11.30.Pb, 04.65.+e
Keywords: D-branes, flux branes, stabilization

1 Introduction

There has recently been a lot of interest in the construction and classification of supersymmetric fluxbranes in string theory, either from a supergravity perspective [1, 2] or from a conformal field theory (CFT) one [3, 4]. It is natural to ask about which D-branes, NS-branes and other dynamical objects in string theory exist in this new sector of the theory [5]. Some results in this direction were obtained using CFT and/or world-volume techniques in [6]- [7]. In this note, we shall use low energy field theory descriptions both in the open and closed string sectors to answer this question, and for simplicity, we shall concentrate on D0-branes in flux 5-branes (F5-branes) [1].

A F5-brane is a type IIA configuration preserving one half of the space-time supersymmetry (see appendix) with metric

$$g = \Lambda^{1/2} \{ ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{1,5}) + dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 2\theta d\psi^2) \} + r^2 \Lambda^{-1/2} \{ d\varphi + \cos 2\theta d\psi \}^2, \quad (1)$$

and nontrivial dilaton and Ramond-Ramond (RR) 1-form

$$\Phi - \Phi_0 = \frac{3}{4} \log \Lambda \quad (2)$$

$$C_{(1)} = (\beta r) r \Lambda^{-1} (d\varphi + \cos 2\theta d\psi), \quad (3)$$

where the scalar function Λ is defined by $\Lambda = 1 + (\beta r)^2$. The above configuration is obtained by Kaluza-Klein reduction of eleven dimensional Minkowski spacetime along the orbits of the Killing vector

$$\xi_{F5} = \partial_{x^\sharp} + \beta (x^6 \partial_7 - x^7 \partial_6 + x^8 \partial_9 - x^9 \partial_8),$$

where $x^\sharp = R\chi$ stands for the eleventh compact dimension and χ for some canonically normalised angular variable. Equivalently, the F5-brane is obtained in the limit ¹

$$R \rightarrow 0, \quad \beta \text{ fixed}. \quad (4)$$

Notice that whenever $\beta r_0 \sim g_s^{-2/3}$, the type IIA description of the geometry is no longer reliable, and one should replace it by its M-theory lift. As explained in [1], one can associate some notion of “charge” to this object by computing the integral $\int F_{(2)} \wedge F_{(2)}$, on the full $\mathbb{R}^4$ transverse space to the F5-brane, $F_{(2)}$

¹The author would like to thank H. Robins for discussions on this point.

being the corresponding RR 2-form field strength. This transverse $\mathbb{R}^4$ space is parametrised by

$$\begin{aligned} x^6 + ix^7 &= r \cos \theta e^{i(\varphi+\psi)} \\ x^8 + ix^9 &= r \sin \theta e^{i(\varphi-\psi)} . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Thus, r stands for the radial distance to the F5-brane, θ measures the angle between the 67-plane and the 89-plane, whereas $\varphi \pm \psi$ are the polar angles (phases) in the corresponding 2-planes. The charge (proportional to $(\beta^2)^{-1}$) is thus kept fixed in the Kaluza–Klein reduction (4).

Assuming the existence of D0-branes in this background at weak coupling, and taking a strong coupling limit, the system should be described by an M-wave [8] propagating in a locally flat spacetime satisfying topologically nontrivial identification conditions². It is thus expected that the Kaluza–Klein reduction of an M-wave configuration along the orbits of the Killing vector ξ_{F5} should give rise to a type IIA configuration describing a composite system of D0-branes and F5-branes. One of the purposes of this note is to check this interpretation.

In order to address these considerations, one can study the dynamics at low energy of a single D0-brane in such an F5-brane background, by a probe analysis. If a D0-brane of tension (mass) $T_{D0} = \frac{1}{l_s} e^{-\Phi_0}$ sits in a F5-brane background at a distance r_0 , the potential felt by the probe

$$V = T_{D0} [1 + 3(\beta r)^2 \Lambda_0^{-1}]^{1/2} , \tag{6}$$

depends on the distance. In (6), Λ_0 was defined as $\Lambda(r_0)$. It is only at $r_0 = 0$, the minimum of the potential (6), that the probe feels no force. Equivalently, the classical equations of motion for a massive particle propagating in a F5-brane background with no angular velocity are only satisfied when motion is constrained to the hypersurface defining the F5-brane.

It is a natural question whether there exists some physical mechanism by which the D0-brane remains *static*³ at an arbitrary distance r_0 from the F5-brane. The answer to this question lies on the geometry of the background. Notice that even if the angular velocity of the D0-brane vanishes, the RR 1-form induces some angular momentum through the Wess-Zumino coupling, yielding a non-static configuration. This is the reason why (6) is not equal to minus the lagrangian density, but includes the contribution from such

²Similar remarks were already mentioned and used in the context of M5-branes in the appendix of [4].

³In this note, by static, we shall refer to a configuration having vanishing angular momentum.

non-vanishing momenta. This argument raises the possibility of giving some angular velocity to the D0-brane such that the total angular momentum vanishes, yielding an static configuration of constant energy (independent of r_0). This expectation will be confirmed both for a single D0-brane in type IIA and a massless particle in eleven dimensions (which is the lift to M-theory of the type IIA configuration).

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we shall study the propagation of a massless eleven dimensional particle in a flat eleven dimensional geometry satisfying some nontrivial identification conditions, by using an adapted coordinate system to the action of ξ_{F5} . In section 3, we shall move to the D0-brane setting and prove, by construction, the existence of the fore-mentioned *static* configurations of finite energy. Evidence is given in favour of their stability by an analysis of the fluctuations around these vacua and due to the existence of a bound from below on their energy. In section 4, the supergravity type IIA configuration taking into the account the backreaction of a bunch of D0-branes is found. It is then shown that an additional D0-brane probe having the same angular velocity as in our previous vacua can be added at an arbitrary distance, thus showing its BPS character, and confirming the physical interpretation of these supergravity backgrounds. The same physical effect is used to construct D0-D2 bound states in section 5 and to (meta)-stabilize curved D2-branes in F5-brane backgrounds in section 6.

2 Eleven dimensional massless particle

Let us study the propagation of an eleven dimensional massless particle,

$$S = \int d\tau \mathcal{L} = \int d\tau \left[\dot{x}^M P_M - \frac{1}{2} v h^{MN} P_M P_N \right] , \quad (7)$$

in the eleven dimensional geometry

$$h = ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{(1,5)}) + dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 2\theta d\psi^2) + \Lambda R^2 d\chi^2 + 2\beta r^2 R d\chi(d\varphi + \cos 2\theta d\psi) + r^2(d\varphi + \cos 2\theta d\psi)^2 . \quad (8)$$

In (7), P_M $M = 0, 1, \dots, \sharp$ should be thought of some set of auxiliary fields, actually, the conjugate momentum variables to the spacetime coordinates x^M ; whereas v ensures the mass-shell condition. The eleven dimensional metric (8) was already written in adapted coordinates, where $\xi_{F5} = \partial_{x^\sharp}$. Thus, by Kaluza–Klein reduction along the $x_\sharp = R\chi$ direction, the full F5-brane background is obtained.

Its classical equations of motion are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} h^{MN} P_M P_N &= 0 \\ P_M &= h_{MN} \dot{x}^N v^{-1} \\ \frac{d P_M}{d\tau} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^M} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where v can always be set to one (using worldline reparametrisations) and the inverse of the background metric h^{MN} is

$$h^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{\mu\nu} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{h}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \mu\nu = 0, 1, \dots, 5$$

$$\tilde{h}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{-2} & -\beta R^{-1} & 0 \\ -\beta R^{-1} & \Delta (1 + \beta^2 r^2 \sin^2 2\theta) & -\Delta \cos 2\theta \\ 0 & -\Delta \cos 2\theta & \Delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where the scalar function $\Delta = (r \sin 2\theta)^{-2}$ was introduced and $\tilde{h}^{-1}$ is written in the basis $\{\chi, \varphi, \psi\}$.

It will be assumed that all momenta is vanishing except for P_χ , P_φ and P_ψ , which are conserved quantities, this being consistent with (9) and equivalent to setting $\dot{r} = \dot{\theta} = \dot{x}^i = 0$ $i = 1, \dots, 5$. The energy of the configuration is given by the mass-shell condition

$$E^2 = \left(\frac{P_\chi}{R} + \beta P_\varphi \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r_0^2 \sin^2 2\theta_0} (P_\varphi - \cos 2\theta_0 P_\psi)^2 + (P_\psi r_0^{-1})^2 \tag{10}$$

and depends on the constant parameters r_0, θ_0 . Requiring the energy to be minimized enforces an stationary requirement in the φ, ψ angular directions

$$P_\varphi = P_\psi = 0,$$

where the solution $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$ was excluded, since we are interested in finite energy configurations.

Using (9), we can solve the stationary conditions in terms of the velocities

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 [\dot{\varphi} + \cos 2\theta \dot{\psi} + \beta R \dot{\chi}] &= 0 \\ r^2 [\dot{\psi} + \cos 2\theta \dot{\varphi} + \beta R \cos 2\theta \dot{\chi}] &= 0 \\ \Lambda R^2 \dot{\chi} + \beta R r^2 (\dot{\varphi} + \cos 2\theta \dot{\psi}) &= P_\chi, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

allowing three different solutions

- $r_0 = 0$, corresponding to a massless particle constrained to $\mathbb{R}^5 \times S^1$.
- $\dot{\psi} = 0$, $\dot{\varphi} = -\beta R^{-1} P_\chi = -\beta P_\sharp$, $\forall r_0$.
- $\theta_0 = 0(\pi/2)$, $\dot{\varphi} + (-)\dot{\psi} = -\beta P_\sharp$, $\forall r_0$, corresponding to a massless particle moving in the 67-plane (89-plane).

In all cases, the energy of the particle equals its velocity

$$E = \dot{x}_\sharp ,$$

along the compact direction, since $P_\chi = R^2 \dot{\chi} = R \dot{x}_\sharp$, thus suggesting the latter configurations do describe a massless particle propagating in the compact direction $x^\sharp$. This can explicitly be shown by mapping the trajectories in both the adapted coordinate system and the one in which the metric is manifestly flat. The latter is governed by

$$\phi = \varphi + \beta x^\sharp .$$

Thus, whenever $\dot{\psi} = 0$, there is no angular velocity in ϕ ($\dot{\phi} = 0$); whenever $\theta_0 = 0(\pi/2)$, motion is constrained to a plane, thus the real physical phase variable is given by $\phi + \cos 2\theta_0 \psi$, which again has vanishing angular velocity; for $r_0 = 0$, no motion is allowed in the directions under consideration. Thus, indeed, all the found trajectories do correspond to propagation in the compact direction, as the energy computation was suggesting to us.

All configurations described in this section preserve one quarter of the spacetime supersymmetry. This is obvious in the coordinate system in which the background is manifestly flat. Indeed, in that frame, the background preserves the supersymmetries satisfying $\Gamma_{6789}\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, whereas the propagating massless particle the ones obeying $\Gamma_0\Gamma_\sharp\varepsilon = \varepsilon$. Since $[\Gamma_{6789}, \Gamma_0\Gamma_\sharp] = \text{tr} \Gamma_{6789}\Gamma_0\Gamma_\sharp = 0$, the configuration preserves eight supercharges.

3 Static D0-brane in a F5-brane background

Once the motion of massless eleven dimensional particle in the adapted coordinate system has been worked out, the extension to the D0-brane is rather natural. One should look for an angular velocity such that the full angular momentum of the massive particle vanishes. We shall consider the most general ansatz consistent with this picture, in which $x^0 = \tau$ (gauge choice), the coordinates parametrising the F5-branes worldspace are set to a constant value (x_0^i), the radial distance to the F5-brane (r_0) and $\theta = \theta_0$ are

constants and the angular coordinates that do couple with the RR 1-form (3) are assumed to be

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &= \omega_0 \tau + \varphi_0 \\ \psi &= v_0 \tau + \psi_0 .\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

The action describing the dynamics of this system at low energies and weak string coupling constant is given by

$$\begin{aligned}S_{D0} &= -T_{D0} \int d\tau \Lambda_0^{-1} \sqrt{\Lambda_0 - r_0^2(\omega_0 + v_0 \cos 2\theta_0)^2 - \Lambda_0(r_0 v_0 \sin 2\theta_0)^2} \\ &+ T_{D0} \int d\tau \Lambda_0^{-1} \beta r_0^2 (\omega_0 + v_0 \cos 2\theta_0) .\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

The non-trivial components of the angular momentum can be derived from (13) by standard methods. In particular, computing $\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\omega_0$ one obtains

$$P_\varphi = T_{D0} r_0^2 \Lambda_0^{-1} \left[\beta + \frac{l_0}{\sqrt{\Lambda_0 - (r_0 l_0)^2 - \Lambda_0(r_0 v_0 \sin 2\theta_0)^2}} \right] \tag{14}$$

and from $\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial v_0$

$$P_\psi = T_{D0} r_0^2 \Lambda_0^{-1} \left[\beta \cos 2\theta_0 + \frac{l_0 \cos 2\theta_0 + \Lambda_0 r_0^2 \sin^2 2\theta_0 v_0}{\sqrt{\Lambda_0 - (r_0 l_0)^2 - \Lambda_0(r_0 v_0 \sin 2\theta_0)^2}} \right] , \tag{15}$$

where the constant parameter, $l_0 = \omega_0 + v_0 \cos 2\theta_0$, has been introduced.

When one requires both equation (14) and equation (15) to vanish, one finds three different solutions to the corresponding system of equations :

- $r_0 = 0$, corresponding to a D0-brane restricted to move on the F5-brane.
- $\theta_0 = 0, \pi/2$, $\omega_0 \pm v_0 = -\beta \forall r_0$, corresponding to a D0-brane moving either in the 67 plane ($\theta_0 = 0$) or in the 89 plane ($\theta_0 = \pi/2$) with total angular velocity $-\beta$, in both cases.
- $v_0 = 0$, $\omega_0 = -\beta \forall \theta_0, r_0$.

It is reaffirming to get exactly the same conditions we derived before. Since, by construction, the angular momentum vanishes, the energy of the configuration equals minus the lagrangian density of the system ($E = -\mathcal{L}$), that is, it equals the tension (mass) of the D0-brane. Thus, there exist configurations of *static* D0-branes sitting at any distance r_0 from the F5-branes, with non-vanishing angular velocity and finite energy equal to its mass. This

interpretation can also be derived from a pure hamiltonian analysis of the system. Using the general formalism developed in [9], the energy density can be computed from the mass-shell condition

$$\tilde{P}^2 + T_{\text{D0}}^2 \Lambda_0^{-3/2} = 0 , \quad (16)$$

where $\tilde{P}^2 = g^{MN}(P_M + T_{\text{D0}}C_M)(P_N + T_{\text{D0}}C_N)$, g^{MN} being the inverse of the metric (1) and C_M the components of the RR 1-form (3). Notice that by requiring the spacelike components of the momentum ($P_m = 0$ $m = 1, \dots, 9$) to vanish as corresponds to a *static* configuration, the energy ($P_0 = E$) equals the tension (mass) of the D0-brane.

Given a bosonic configuration on the worldvolume of any brane, one way to check whether it preserves some supersymmetry is by analysing whether it satisfies the kappa symmetry preserving condition [10]

$$\Gamma_\kappa \varepsilon = \varepsilon \quad (17)$$

where ε is the Killing spinor of the corresponding background geometry and Γ_κ is the usual field and background dependent matrix encoding the kappa symmetry transformations in D-branes [11]. Equation (17) reduces, in this particular case, to

$$\left\{ \Gamma_{\underline{0}} + \Lambda_0^{-1/2} r_0 l_0 \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}} + \Lambda_0^{1/2} r_0 v_0 \sin 2\theta_0 \Gamma_{\underline{\psi}} \right\} \Gamma_{11} \varepsilon = \varepsilon . \quad (18)$$

Thus, for $r_0 = 0$, equation (18) reduces to

$$\Gamma_{\underline{0}} \Gamma_{11} \varepsilon = \varepsilon \quad (r_0 = 0) ,$$

whereas in the remaining two static configurations, (17) reduces to

$$\left\{ \Gamma_{\underline{0}} - \Lambda_0^{-1/2} (\beta r_0) \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}} \right\} \Gamma_{11} \varepsilon = \varepsilon \quad (l_0 = -\beta) ,$$

which corresponds to the supersymmetry projection condition of a boosted D0-brane. As it is argued in the appendix, the point dependence of the Killing spinors is of the form $\varepsilon = \tilde{M}[x; \Gamma] \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 stands for a constant spinor and $\tilde{M}[x; \Gamma]$ is some matrix, depending on the point, built from flat gamma matrices. Under such conditions, the analysis of (18) is not straightforward, as has already been pointed out in the past in different backgrounds [12].

An explicit computation involving the exact form for the Killing spinors should prove that our static configurations preserve one fourth of the space-time supersymmetry. To give further evidence to the last statement, we shall first study the stability of these configurations by an analysis of the fluctuations around them and the existence of a bound from below for the energy,

and shall postpone the discussion on the BPS character of these configurations to the next section. Let us parametrise these arbitrary fluctuations by

$$\begin{aligned}
x^i &= x_0^i + \eta \delta x^i(\tau) \\
r &= r_0 + \eta \delta r(\tau) \quad , \quad \theta = \theta_0 + \eta \delta \theta(\tau) \\
\varphi &= \omega_0 \tau + \varphi_0 + \eta \delta \varphi(\tau) \\
\psi &= v_0 \tau + \psi_0 + \eta \delta \psi(\tau) .
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where η will be the parameter counting the different orders in the expansion of the action around the vacuum configuration, $S_{D0} = S_{(0)} + \eta S_{(1)} + \eta^2 S_{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\eta^3)$.

Let us study the different contributions to the expansion of the action S_{D0} by splitting the latter into a Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) part and a Wess-Zumino (WZ), $S_{D0} = S_{DBI} + S_{WZ}$. The contribution from the WZ term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{WZ} &= T_{D0} \int d\tau \left\{ \frac{\beta r_0^2}{\Lambda_0} l_0 + \eta \left[\frac{\beta r_0^2}{\Lambda_0} (\delta \dot{\varphi} + \delta \dot{\psi} \cos 2\theta_0) + 2 \frac{\beta r_0^2}{\Lambda_0} l_0 \delta r \right] \right. \\
&\quad + \eta^2 \left[-2 \frac{\beta r_0^2}{\Lambda_0} (v_0 \cos 2\theta_0 \delta \theta^2 + \sin 2\theta_0 \delta \theta \delta \dot{\psi}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\beta}{\Lambda_0^3} l_0 (1 - 3(\beta r_0)^2) \delta r^2 + 2 \frac{\beta r_0}{\Lambda_0^2} \delta r (\delta \dot{\varphi} + \cos 2\theta_0 \delta \dot{\psi}) \right] \right\} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where we already took into account that $r_0 v_0 \sin 2\theta_0 = 0$ for any of the three classical solutions found previously.

In order to write the expansion of the DBI term, we shall distinguish between the $r_0 = 0$ classical solution and the ones involving an arbitrary r_0 . Notice that for $r_0 = 0$, the contribution from the WZ term (20) vanishes and one is left with

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{D0} &= -T_{D0} \int d\tau + \eta^2 T_{D0} \int d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \delta \dot{x}^i \delta \dot{x}^j \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \dot{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\beta)^2 \delta r^2 \right\} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\eta^3) .
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The zeroth order contribution in the η parameter reproduces the energy of the vacuum configuration (up to a sign), as it should, whereas the first order contribution vanishes, in agreement with the fact that the classical configuration which we are expanding around solves the classical equations of motion. The second order contribution describes the dynamics of five massless scalar fields (the fluctuations along the five spacelike directions along the F5-brane) plus a radion δr , whose mass term has the wrong sign.

Let us now concentrate on the remaining two cases ($r_0 \neq 0$). The contribution from the DBI term can be summarized as

$$S_{\text{DBI}} = -T_{\text{D0}} \int d\tau \Lambda_0^{-1} \left\{ 1 + \eta \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_1 - x_1 \right) + \eta^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_2 - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{L}_1^2) + x_1^2 - x_2 - \frac{1}{2} x_1 \mathcal{L}_1 \right) \right\} , \quad (22)$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 2 \frac{\beta^2 r_0}{\Lambda_0} \delta r \quad , \quad x_2 = \frac{\beta^2}{\Lambda_0} \delta r^2 \\ \mathcal{L}_1 &= 2(\beta r_0) r_0 (\delta \dot{\varphi} + \cos 2\theta_0 \delta \dot{\psi}) \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= 4(\beta r_0) \delta r (\delta \dot{\varphi} + \cos 2\theta_0 \delta \dot{\psi}) - \Lambda_0 \delta \dot{x}^i \delta \dot{x}^j \delta_{ij} - \Lambda_0 \delta \dot{r}^2 - \Lambda_0 r_0^2 \delta \dot{\theta}^2 \\ &\quad - 4(\beta r_0) r_0 (v_0 \cos 2\theta_0 \delta \theta^2 + \delta \dot{\psi} \sin 2\theta_0 \delta \theta) - r_0^2 (\delta \dot{\varphi} + \cos 2\theta_0 \delta \dot{\psi})^2 \\ &\quad - \Lambda_0 r_0^2 \sin^2 2\theta_0 \delta \dot{\psi}^2 - \Lambda_0 v_0^2 (4r_0^2 \cos 4\theta_0 \delta \theta^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_0 \delta r^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Joining the contributions from (20) and (22), we recover the zeroth order contribution corresponding to the vacuum energy. At linear order in the expansion parameter η , the action

$$S_{(1)} = T_{\text{D0}} \int d\tau \Lambda_0^{-1} \left(x_1 - 2 \frac{\beta^2 r_0}{\Lambda_0} \delta r \right) \quad (24)$$

vanishes, as can be seen by the definition of x_1 in (23). Finally, at second order, the action reads as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(2)} &= T_{\text{D0}} \int d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \delta \dot{x}^i \delta \dot{x}^j \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \dot{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^2 \delta \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_0^2 (\delta \dot{\varphi} + \cos 2\theta_0 \delta \dot{\psi})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} r_0^2 \sin^2 2\theta_0 \delta \dot{\psi}^2 + 2r_0^2 v_0^2 \cos 4\theta_0 \delta \theta^2 + \frac{1}{2} v_0^2 \sin^2 2\theta_0 \delta r^2 \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The above action describes a free scalar field theory in which only two mass like terms appear with the wrong sign. Notice that $v_0 \sin 2\theta_0$ is always vanishing for the vacua we are discussing, thus radial fluctuations are both classically stable and massless. Furthermore, when $v_0 = 0$, the mass term for $\delta\theta$ fluctuations vanish, thus we conclude that the static classical configuration defined by $v_0 = 0$ and $\omega_0 = -\beta$ is a stable configuration in which all fluctuations $\{\delta x^i, \delta r, \delta\theta, r_0 (\delta\varphi + \cos 2\theta_0 \delta\psi), r_0 \sin 2\theta_0 \delta\psi\}$ are massless, as it should be for a D0-brane with no constraints on its motion.

Finally, for the classical configuration confined either to the 67-plane ($\theta_0 = 0$) or to the 89-plane ($\theta_0 = \pi/2$) the wrong sign mass like term for the fluctuation $\delta\theta$ remains. Notice that in this case, $r_0 \sin 2\theta_0 \delta\psi$ is also non-dynamical since the only physical degree of freedom corresponds to fluctuations of the phase $r_0 (\delta\varphi \pm \delta\psi)$ in the plane where the classical motion takes place.

Despite the apparent tachyonic behaviour of the radion δr for the $r_0 = 0$ vacuum and $\delta\theta$ for the $\theta_0 = 0(\pi/2)$ vacua, one should check that the energy of these fluctuations is negative, to consider them as real tachyonic modes. Actually, such a possibility is not possible. Indeed, by solving the mass-shell condition $\tilde{P}^2 + T_{D0}\Lambda^{-3/2} = 0$ (in general), one can express the energy of the system as

$$E^2 = (T_{D0} + \beta P_\varphi)^2 + \left(\frac{P_\psi}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 2\theta} (P_\varphi - \cos 2\theta P_\psi)^2 + P_r^2 + \left(\frac{P_\theta}{r}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 (P_i)^2. \quad (26)$$

Thus, energy is bounded from below by T_{D0} , a bound which is saturated when the configuration is static ($P_\varphi = P_\psi = P_r = P_\theta = P_i = 0 \ \forall i$). Due to the positivity of all the terms in (26), any fluctuation around our vacua would give rise to a positive energy contribution, thus showing the stability of all configurations discussed before.

4 Background reaction

If the above configuration is BPS, one could consider a set of N D0-branes sitting on the same point in the presence of a F5-brane. For large enough N , such a configuration should admit a reliable supergravity description. Once this background is known, one could probe it with an additional D0-brane and the BPS feature should manifest itself with the existence of classical configurations having the same features as the ones described in the previous section, and allowing the additional D0-brane to sit at an arbitrary distance not only of the F5-brane but also of the remaining D0-branes being described by the background. In the following, we shall first construct the closed string description of N D0-branes in the presence of a F5-brane, and afterwards, it will be checked that indeed an additional D0-brane can sit at an arbitrary distance when having the correct angular velocity.

4.1 Supergravity background

The easiest way to look for the type IIA configuration we are interested in is to take the strong coupling limit of the theory ($R \rightarrow \infty$), and look for the corresponding configuration in M-theory ⁴. This eleven dimensional configuration should be an M-wave propagating in the compact direction, plus some topologically non-trivial conditions describing the presence of the F5-brane. Thus, locally, the metric should look like the one of an M-wave [8]

$$h = (U - 2) (dx^0)^2 + U (dx^\sharp)^2 - 2 (U - 1) dx^0 dx^\sharp + ds^2(\mathbb{E}^9) , \quad (27)$$

where $x^\sharp$ stands for the direction of propagation and $U = U(\hat{r})$ is an harmonic function

$$U = U(\hat{r}) = 1 + \frac{k}{\hat{r}^7} ,$$

on $\mathbb{E}^9$. Notice that $\hat{r}^2 = r^2 + x^i x^j \delta_{ij}$, where r is the radial coordinate transverse to the F5-brane and x^i ($i = 1 \dots, 5$) parametrise its worldspace. The configuration preserves one fourth of the supersymmetry. This is because the M-wave configuration is already a one-half BPS object, whereas the nontrivial identifications that characterise the global properties of this configuration break another half.

If one Kaluza-Klein reduces the above configuration along the orbits of the Killing vector $\xi_{F5} = \partial_{x^\sharp} + \beta (x^6 \partial_7 - x^7 \partial_6 + x^8 \partial_9 - x^9 \partial_8)$ ⁵, one obtains a type IIA configuration with metric

$$\begin{aligned} g = & -\Delta^{-1/2} U^{-1/2} (U - 1)^2 (dx^0)^2 + \Delta^{-1/2} U^{1/2} r^2 (d\varphi + \cos 2\theta d\psi)^2 \\ & + 2\beta r^2 \Delta^{-1/2} U^{-1/2} (U - 1) dx^0 (d\varphi + \cos 2\theta d\psi) + \Delta^{1/2} \{ U^{1/2} (U - 2) (dx^0)^2 \\ & + U^{1/2} ds^2(\mathbb{E}^5) + U^{1/2} (dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 2\theta d\psi^2)) \} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

RR 1-form potential $C_{(1)}$

$$C_{(1)} = \Delta^{-1} \{ - (1 - U^{-1}) dx^0 + U^{-1} \beta r^2 (d\varphi + \cos 2\theta d\psi) \} , \quad (29)$$

and a non-vanishing dilaton

$$\phi = \frac{3}{4} \log (U \cdot \Delta) , \quad (30)$$

⁴This remark was already mentioned in the appendix of [4].

⁵This is just a particular case of a more general formulation discussed in [5].

where the scalar function Δ is defined as

$$\Delta = 1 + U^{-1} \beta^2 r^2 .$$

Notice that this is a type IIA background that correctly reproduces the F5-brane limit, the one in which the charge of the D0-brane is sent to zero ($k \rightarrow 0$), and the D0-brane limit, in which the charge of the F5-brane is sent to zero ($\beta \rightarrow 0$). In both limits, there is an enhancement of supersymmetry. Furthermore, the ten dimensional metric (28) takes into account the backreaction of the boosted D0-branes by having non-vanishing $g_{0\varphi}$ and $g_{0\psi}$ components.

4.2 Probe computation

We shall now explicitly show that exactly the same static configurations that were found in section 3 are still static configurations in the above background, thus showing their BPS character [13]. Notice that this computation also gives further evidence for the physical interpretation given to the type IIA configuration obtained in the last subsection.

Using the same ansatz as in section 3, the non-trivial angular momenta is given by

$$P_\varphi = T_{\text{D0}} \frac{e^{-\phi}}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}^m \dot{x}^n g_{mn}}} \dot{x}^m g_{m\varphi} + T_{\text{D0}} C_\varphi \quad (31)$$

and

$$P_\psi = T_{\text{D0}} \frac{e^{-\phi}}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}^m \dot{x}^n g_{mn}}} \dot{x}^m g_{m\psi} + T_{\text{D0}} C_\psi . \quad (32)$$

Requiring the configuration to be static ($P_\varphi = P_\psi = 0$), determines three inequivalent solutions. It is life-reaffirming to check that these are precisely the ones found in our previous analysis : $r_0 = 0$, corresponding to a D0-brane moving on the F5-brane, $\sin 2\theta_0 = 0$, corresponding to a D0-brane moving in the 67-plane or in the 89-plane and $\dot{\psi} = 0$. As in that case, the angular velocity in the last two solutions is

$$\omega_0 + \cos 2\theta_0 v_0 = -\beta .$$

Since, by construction, the configuration has vanishing momentum, its energy equals minus the value of the lagrangian density evaluated on it. Again, it is satisfactory to check that

$$E = T_{\text{D0}} .$$

This result can be confirmed by solving, after some algebra, the mass shell condition for E

$$g^{00} (E + T_{\text{D0}} C_0)^2 + 2g^{0\varphi} (E + T_{\text{D0}} C_0) T_{\text{D0}} C_\varphi + 2g^{0\psi} (E + T_{\text{D0}} C_0) T_{\text{D0}} C_\psi + T_{\text{D0}}^2 [g^{\varphi\varphi} C_\varphi^2 + g^{\psi\psi} C_\psi^2 + 2g^{\varphi\psi} C_\varphi C_\psi]^2 + T_{\text{D0}}^2 U^{-3/2} \Delta^{-3/2} = 0 .$$

5 Static D0-D2 bound state

In a flat and topologically trivial spacetime, either D0-branes or D2-branes can be located anywhere, the resulting configurations being stable and supersymmetric. Furthermore, non-threshold D0-D2 bound states preserving one half of the spacetime supersymmetry are known to exist. These can be realized on the D2-brane effective action as constant magnetic fluxes. We have learnt in the previous section how to describe static, supersymmetric D0-branes in F5-brane backgrounds. It is natural to ask whether the forementioned bound states are also allowed in this new F5-brane backgrounds.

First of all, just as for the flat spacetime, one needs to identify the right vacuum state describing a D2-brane. It is easy to show that a D2-brane parallel to the F5-brane sitting a distance r_0 from it, feels no force. In other words, the potential is constant and equals the tension of the brane. It preserves one fourth of the spacetime supersymmetry.

It is natural to guess that the description of a D0-D2 bound state in a F5-brane background involves switching on some constant magnetic flux on the brane, $F = 2\pi\alpha' F_{12}$, and giving some angular velocity to the system ($\varphi = \omega\tau + \varphi_0$), such that the total angular momentum P_φ vanishes, to compensate for the effect of the non-trivial background geometry⁶. Using the static gauge, setting the rest of transverse coordinates to constant values and the electric components of the gauge field to a pure gauge configuration, the effective action description the D2-brane at low energies and weak string coupling constant is

$$S = -T_{\text{D2}} \int d^{2+1}\sigma \Lambda_0^{-1} \left\{ \sqrt{\Lambda_0 - (r\omega)^2} \sqrt{\Lambda_0 + F^2} - \beta r^2 F \omega \right\} . \quad (33)$$

The physical requirement of vanishing angular momentum fixes the angular velocity to be

$$P_\varphi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \omega} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_\star = -\frac{\beta F}{\sqrt{1 + F^2}} ,$$

⁶We decided to concentrate on this description for the D0-brane, but the other two static configurations described earlier in this note would lead to exactly the same picture found here.

for which the energy density of the configuration equals

$$E[\omega_\star] = T_{\text{D2}}\sqrt{1 + F^2},$$

the energy density of a D0-D2 non-threshold bound state [14]. We conclude that such bound states exist in these new sectors of string theory described by F5-branes.

In the same philosophy followed in the previous section, we could compute the backreaction of the D0-D2 bound state, by taking the strong coupling limit and considering the eleven dimensional supergravity description of the above system. Locally, this should be given by an M2-brane boosted in the eleventh compact dimension [15]. By Kaluza–Klein reduction along the orbits of the Killing vector ξ_{F5} , one would obtain the desired type IIA configuration.

6 Meta-stabilization of D2-branes

The existence of a linear coupling among the angular velocity and the RR 1-form was crucial for the stabilization mechanism of D0-branes in F5-brane backgrounds to work. D2-branes do also couple linearly to such RR 1-form through the electric components of the gauge field strength F_{0a} $a = 1, 2$. Whenever the relative orientation between the probe and the F5-brane is such that the above coupling is non-vanishing, the electric field on the brane ($E^a = (2\pi\alpha')^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{0a}}$) will not vanish, even if $F_{0a} = 0$. It will be examined below whether by requiring E^a to vanish, the configuration is stabilized or not. As when discussing D0-branes in F5-brane backgrounds, it is useful to interpret the corresponding configurations in M-theory. In such a strong coupling limit, membranes propagate in a locally flat spacetime satisfying topologically non-trivial conditions. Since the relation among the world-volume membrane description and the world-volume D2-brane description is a world-volume dualisation in 1+2 dimensions of the scalar field $x^\sharp(\sigma)$ parametrising the eleventh dimension [16]

$$dx^\sharp + \hat{C}_{(1)} = \left(e^\Phi \sqrt{-\det(\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{F})} \right) \star \mathcal{F},$$

where $\mathcal{F} = (2\pi\alpha')F + \hat{B}_{(2)}$, it is apparent that we do need no non-trivial embedding $x^\sharp = x^\sharp(\sigma)$ in eleven dimensions to describe the corresponding D2-brane configurations. Indeed, the source for the non-vanishing electric components of the field strength F is entirely given in terms of the pull-back $\hat{C}_{(1)}$. We shall then be interested in those cases where such a pull-back is non-vanishing, the latter depending on the relative orientations between the

probe worldspace Σ and the F5-brane background. In all of them, the eleven dimensional configuration corresponds to a curved membrane in a locally flat spacetime.

$$\underline{\Sigma = \Sigma_{\varphi\psi}}$$

This corresponds to a D2-brane in the $\varphi\psi$ -plane. When $F_{0a} = 0$, the potential felt by the probe

$$V = T_{D2} r_0^2 \sin 2\theta_0 \left\{ 1 + 3 (\beta r_0)^2 \Lambda_0^{-1} \right\}^{1/2} ,$$

depends on the distance r_0 to the F5-brane and the θ_0 angle among the 67-plane and 89-plane. It will be useful to distinguish between the first factor $r_0^2 \sin 2\theta_0$ and the second $\left\{ 1 + 3 (\beta r_0)^2 \Lambda_0^{-1} \right\}^{1/2}$. The first one accounts for the curved nature of the brane probe worldspace, whereas the second one encodes the non-trivial background geometry and dilaton. Notice that the above configuration collapses to a pointlike configuration ($r_0 \rightarrow 0$).

Whenever $F_{0a} \neq 0$, the effective action is given by

$$S = -T_{D2} \int d^{1+2} \sigma \Lambda_0^{-1} r_0 \left\{ \left[r_0^2 \sin^2 2\theta_0 \Lambda_0 - (F_\psi - \cos 2\theta_0 F_\varphi)^2 - \Lambda_0 \sin^2 2\theta_0 F_\varphi^2 \right]^{1/2} - \beta r_0 (F_\psi - \cos 2\theta_0 F_\varphi) \right\} , \quad (34)$$

where $F_\psi = 2\pi\alpha' F_{0\psi}$ and $F_\varphi = 2\pi\alpha' F_{0\varphi}$. The physical requirement of vanishing electric field on the brane determines the extremal values

$$F_\varphi^* = 0 \quad , \quad F_\psi^* = -(\beta r_0) r_0 \sin 2\theta_0 .$$

When one computes the energy density ($\mathcal{E}$) for such configuration, it equals minus the value of the lagrangian density, since all momenta and electric field E^a vanish. This energy density equals

$$\mathcal{E}[F_\varphi^*, F_\psi^*] = T_{D2} r_0^2 \sin 2\theta_0 .$$

Thus, by switching on F_ψ^* on the brane, the physical effects due to the curved geometry, non-vanishing dilaton and presence of RR 1-form are screened. The energy of the configuration is the same as that for a curved D2-brane in a flat background, thus confirming the eleven dimensional interpretation of the configuration. As in that case, this configuration will not be stable since there is no force that prevents the D2-brane from contracting to a point ($r_0 \rightarrow 0$).

$$\underline{\Sigma = \Sigma_{\theta\varphi}}$$

This corresponds to a D2-brane in the $\theta\varphi$ -plane. It will be checked that the same screening effect described before happens here. We shall switch on $F = 2\pi\alpha' F_{0\theta}$ on the brane, such that the effective action is

$$S = -T_{\text{D2}} \int d^{1+2} \sigma \Lambda_0^{-1} r_0 \left\{ \sqrt{r_0^2 \Lambda_0 - F^2} - \beta r_0 F \right\} . \quad (35)$$

Requiring the electric field to vanish, fixes

$$F_\star = -(\beta r_0) r_0 ,$$

for which the energy density of the configuration equals

$$\mathcal{E}[F_\star] = T_{\text{D2}} r_0^2 ,$$

the one of a curved D2-brane in a flat spacetime, as expected from the eleven dimensional discussion. As before, the configuration would collapse to zero size, but all effects due to the original curved background were removed by $F_\star$.

$$\underline{\Sigma = \Sigma_{\theta\psi}}$$

For completeness, we shall discuss a D2-brane on the $\theta\psi$ -plane, even though the conclusion is entirely analogous to the previous configurations. The effective action describing the system when $F = 2\pi\alpha' F_{0\theta}$ is different from zero, is given by

$$S = T_{\text{D2}} \Lambda_0^{-1} r_0 \left\{ \sqrt{1 + (\beta r_0)^2 \sin^2 2\theta_0} \sqrt{r_0^2 \Lambda_0 - F^2} - \beta r_0 F \cos 2\theta_0 \right\} , \quad (36)$$

and the requirement of vanishing electric field fixes

$$F_\star = -(\beta r_0) r_0 \cos 2\theta_0 ,$$

for which the energy density equals

$$\mathcal{E}[F_\star] = T_{\text{D2}} r_0^2 ,$$

which can be interpreted as in previous discussions.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank O. Aharony and M. Berkooz for pointing out a mistake in the first version of this note and O. Aharony for proof reading this manuscript. This research has been supported by a Marie Curie Fellowship of the European Community programme “Improving the Human Research Potential and the Socio-Economic knowledge Base” under the contract number HPMF-CT-2000-00480.

A Supersymmetry

The type IIA F5-brane configuration is obtained from Kaluza-Klein reduction of the flat eleven dimensional Minkowski space along the orbits generated by the Killing vector

$$\xi_{F5} = \partial_{x^\#} + \beta (x^6 \partial_7 - x^7 \partial_6 + x^8 \partial_9 - x^9 \partial_8) . \quad (37)$$

The Killing vector ξ_{F5} acts on the constant Killing spinor ε via the spinorial Lie derivative (see, e.g., [17] and also [18]), which in local coordinates is given by

$$L_{\xi_{F5}} \varepsilon = \left((\xi_{F5})^m \nabla_m + \frac{1}{4} \partial_{[m} (\xi_{F5})_{n]} \Gamma^{mn} \right) \varepsilon \quad (38)$$

The condition that ξ preserves some supersymmetry ($L_\xi \varepsilon = 0$) is equivalent to

$$\Gamma_{6789} \varepsilon = \varepsilon ,$$

where it was assumed that $\beta \neq 0$. This corresponds to having a F5-brane in the 12345-plane. Thus, F5-branes preserve one half of the spacetime supersymmetry.

The above computation was done in eleven dimensions in a coordinate system in which the eleven dimensional geometry is manifestly flat. When dealing with kappa symmetry and supersymmetry in type IIA/B string theories, one requires the explicit form for the corresponding Killing spinors associated with a given bosonic supersymmetric background. In the case of F5-branes, the dilatino and gravitino supersymmetry transformations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \lambda &= \Gamma^m \partial_m \Phi \varepsilon + \frac{3}{8} e^\Phi \Gamma^{mn} F_{mn} \Gamma_\# \varepsilon \\ \delta \psi_m &= \left(\partial_m + \frac{1}{4} \omega_m^{ab} \Gamma_{ab} \right) \varepsilon + \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \Gamma^{pq} F_{pq} \Gamma_m \Gamma_\# \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, obtaining the Killing spinors is equivalent to solving $\delta\lambda = \delta\psi_m = 0$, when the background is the F5-brane one.

It is useful to introduce an orthonormal basis

$$\begin{aligned} e^\mu &= \Lambda^{1/4} dx^\mu \quad \mu = 0, \dots, 5 \\ e^r &= \Lambda^{1/4} dr \quad , \quad e^\theta = \Lambda^{1/4} r d\theta \\ e^\psi &= \Lambda^{1/4} r \sin 2\theta d\psi \quad , \quad e^\varphi = \Lambda^{-1/4} r (d\varphi + \cos 2\theta d\psi) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

In such a basis, the RR 2-form field strength $F_2 = dA_1$ is given by

$$F_2 = 2\beta(\Lambda^{-2} e^r \wedge e^\varphi - \Lambda^{-3/2} e^\theta \wedge e^\psi) \quad ,$$

whereas the non-trivial spin connection reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\mu_r &= \frac{1}{2}(\beta r) r \Lambda^{-5/4} e^\mu \quad , \quad \omega^\psi_r = r^{-1} \Lambda^{-5/4} (\Lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\beta r)^2) e^\psi \\ \omega^\theta_r &= r^{-1} \Lambda^{-5/4} (\Lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\beta r)^2) e^\theta \quad , \quad \omega^\varphi_r = r^{-1} \Lambda^{-5/4} (\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\beta r)^2) e^\varphi \\ \omega^\psi_\theta &= r^{-1} \Lambda^{-3/4} e^\varphi + 2r^{-1} \Lambda^{-1/4} \frac{\cos 2\theta}{\sin 2\theta} e^\psi \\ \omega^\psi_\varphi &= r^{-1} \Lambda^{-3/4} e^\theta \quad , \quad \omega^\varphi_\theta = r^{-1} \Lambda^{-3/4} e^\psi \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The dilatino equation gives rise to an algebraic equation

$$\left\{ \beta r \Gamma_r - \Lambda^{1/2} \Gamma_{\theta\psi} \Gamma_\# + \Gamma_{r\varphi} \Gamma_\# \right\} \varepsilon = 0 \quad ,$$

this being equivalent to

$$\left\{ \beta r \Gamma_\varphi \Gamma_\# - \Lambda^{1/2} \Gamma_{r\theta\psi\varphi} \right\} \varepsilon = \varepsilon \quad . \quad (39)$$

On the other hand, if we consider the linear combinations $e_{\underline{a}}{}^m \delta\psi_m = 0$, the gravitino equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(e_{\underline{a}}{}^m \partial_m + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\underline{a}}{}^{bc} \Gamma_{bc} \right) \varepsilon - \frac{1}{4} \Lambda^{-5/4} \beta^2 r \Gamma_{\underline{a}} \Gamma_r \varepsilon \\ - \frac{1}{4} \Lambda^{3/4} \delta_a{}^{[b} \Gamma^{c]} F_{bc} \Gamma_\# \varepsilon = 0 \quad , \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

where we have already used (39). Notice that (40) is trivially satisfied for

$\underline{a} = \underline{\mu}$, due to (39). The remaining equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r \varepsilon &= \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \beta \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta r + \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}} \Gamma_{\sharp} \right) \varepsilon \\
\partial_{\theta} \varepsilon &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\Gamma_{\underline{\theta}r} + \Lambda^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\underline{\theta}} (\Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}} + \beta r \Gamma_{\sharp}) \right] \varepsilon \\
(\partial_{\psi} - \cos 2\theta \partial_{\varphi}) \varepsilon &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta \left[\Gamma_{\underline{\psi}r} + \Lambda^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\underline{\psi}} (\Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}} + \beta r \Gamma_{\sharp}) \right] \varepsilon \\
&\quad - \cos 2\theta \Gamma_{\underline{\psi}\theta} \varepsilon \\
\partial_{\varphi} \varepsilon &= -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \left[\Lambda^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}r} + \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}\theta} + \Lambda^{-1/2} \beta r \Gamma_{\underline{\varphi}} \Gamma_{\sharp} \right] \varepsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

suggest the form of the Killing spinors should be

$$\varepsilon = M[r, \dots, \psi] \Pi_l e^{f_l(r, \dots, \psi) \Gamma^{(l)}} \varepsilon_0 ,$$

where ε_0 is some constrained constant spinor and $\Gamma^{(l)}$ stands for appropriate antisymmetrised products of gamma matrices, whereas $f_l(r, \dots, \psi)$ are generically non-constant functions just as $M[r, \dots, \psi]$, with the difference that the latter might also be a matrix.

References

- [1] M Gutperle and A Strominger, *Fluxbranes in String Theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **06** (2001) 035, [arXiv:hep-th/0104136](#).
- [2] F Dowker, JP Gauntlett, SB Giddings and GT Horowitz, *Nucleation of p-branes and fundamental strings*, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996), 7115-7128, [arXiv:hep-th/9512154](#);
CM Chen, DV Gal'tsov and SA Sharakin, *Intersecting M-fluxbranes*, Grav. Cosmol. **5** (1999) 45-48, [arXiv:hep-th/9908132](#);
MS Costa and M Gutperle, *The Kaluza-Klein Melvin solution in M-theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **03** (2002) 027, [arXiv:hep-th/0012072](#);
PM Saffin, *Gravitating fluxbranes*, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 024014, [arXiv:gr-qc/0104014](#);
MS Costa, CAR Herdeiro and L Cornalba, *Flux-Branes and the Dielectric Effect in String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B619** (2001) 155-190, [arXiv:hep-th/0105023](#);
AM Uranga, *Wrapped fluxbranes*, [arXiv:hep-th/0108196](#);

- C Chen, DV Gal'tsov and PM Saffin, *Supergravity fluxbranes in various dimensions*, [arXiv:hep-th/0110164](#);
- JM Figueroa-O'Farrill and J Simón, *Generalised supersymmetric fluxbranes*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2001) 011, [arXiv:hep-th/0110170](#).
- [3] JG Russo and AA Tseytlin, *Magnetic backgrounds and tachyonic instabilities in closed superstring theory and M-theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B611** (2001) 93-124, [arXiv:hep-th/0104238](#);
- AA Tseytlin, *Magnetic backgrounds and tachyonic instabilities in closed string theory*, [arXiv:hep-th/0108196](#);
- T Suyama, *Properties of string theory and Kaluza-Klein Melvin backgrounds*, [arXiv:hep-th/0110077](#);
- T Takayanagi and T Uesugi, *emphOrbifolds as Melvin Geometry*, [arXiv:hep-th/0110099](#).
- [4] JG Russo and AA Tseytlin, *Supersymmetric fluxbrane intersections and closed string tachyons*, [arXiv:hep-th/0110107](#).
- [5] JM Figueroa-O'Farrill and J Simón, *Supersymmetric Kaluza-Klein reductions of M-branes*, to appear.
- [6] E Dudas and J Mourad, *D-branes in String theory Melvin backgrounds*, [arXiv:hep-th/0110186](#);
- T Takayanagi and T Uesugi, *D-branes in Melvin Backgrounds*, [arXiv:hep-th/0110200](#).
- [7] T Takayanagi and T Uesugi, *Flux Stabilization of D-branes in NSNS Melvin background*, [arXiv:hep-th/0112199](#).
- [8] CM Hull, *Exact pp wave solutions of eleven-dimensional supergravity*, *Phys. Lett.* **B139** (1984), 39-41.
- [9] E Bergshoeff and PK Townsend, *Super D-branes revisited*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B531** (1998) 226-238, [arXiv:hep-th/9804011](#).
- [10] K Becker, M Becker and A Strominger, *Fivebranes, Membranes and Non-Perturbative String Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B456** (1995) 130-152, [arXiv:hep-th/9507158](#);
- E Bergshoeff, R Kallosh, T Ortín and G Papadopoulos, *Kappa-symmetry, Supersymmetry and Intersecting branes*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B502** (1997) 149-169, [arXiv:hep-th/9705040](#).

- [11] M Cederwall, A von Gussich, BEW Nilsson and A Westerberg, *The Dirichlet Super-Three-Brane in Ten Dimensional Type IIB Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 163-178, [arXiv:hep-th/9610148](#) ;
M Cederwall, A von Gussich, BEW Nilsson, P Sundell and A Westerberg, *The Dirichlet Super-p-Branes in ten dimensional Type IIA and IIB Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 179-201, [arXiv:hep-th/9611159](#);
E Bergshoeff and PK Townsend, *Super D-branes*, Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 145-162, [arXiv:hep-th/9611173](#).
- [12] J Gomis, A Ramallo, J Simón and PK Townsend, *Supersymmetric Baryonic branes*, J. High Energy Phys. **11** (1999) 019 , [arXiv:hep-th/9907022](#);
MT Grisaru, RC Myers and O Tafjord, *Susy and Goliath*, J. High Energy Phys. **08** (2000) 040, [arXiv:hep-th/0008015](#) ;
A Hashimoto, S Hirano and N Itzhaki, *Large branes in AdS and their field theory dual*, J. High Energy Phys. **08** (2000) 051, [arXiv:hep-th/0008016](#) ;
JM Camino and AV Ramallo, *Giant gravitons with NSNS B field*, J. High Energy Phys. **09** (2001) 012, [arXiv:hep-th/0107142](#) ;
———, *M-theory Giant gravitons with C field*, [arXiv:hep-th/0110096](#).
- [13] AA Tseytlin, *No-force condition and BPS combinations of p-branes in 11 and 10 dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B487** (1997) 141-154, [arXiv:hep-th/9609212](#).
- [14] J Polchinski, *TASI Lectures on D-branes*, [arXiv:hep-th/9611050](#).
- [15] JG Russo and AA Tseytlin, *Waves, boosted branes and BPS states in M-theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B490** (1997) 121-144, [arXiv: hep-th/9611047](#).
- [16] PK Townsend, *Four lectures in M-theory*, Proceedings of the ITP summer school on High Energy Physics and Cosmology, Trieste, June 1996, [arXiv:hep-th/9612121](#).
- [17] Y Kosmann, *Dérivées de Lie des spineurs*, Annali di Mat. Pura Appl. (IV) **91** (1972), 317-395.
- [18] JM Figueroa-O'Farrill and G Papadopoulos, *Homogeneous fluxes, branes and a maximally supersymmetric solution of M-theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **06** (2001), 036, [arXiv:hep-th/0105308](#).